## SubmissionTemplate Workforce Race Equality Standards 2018/19 template



			1	31st MARCH 2018								31st MARCH 2019						
INDICATOR	DATA		MEASURE	WHITE BME ETHNICITY UNKNOWN/NULL						WHITE BME ETHNICITY UNKNOWN/NULL							Notes	
				***					ETHNICHTONK	NOWN/NOLL						ETHACHT	ALL CHICKOLL	Notes
		1a) Non Clinical workforce		ESR figures	Verified figures	ESR figu	res	Verified figures	ESR figures	Verified figures	ESR figures	Verified figures	ESR figures	Verifie	d figures	ESR figures	Verified figures	
	1	Under Band 1	Headcount															
	2	Band 1	Headcount															
	3	Band 2	Headcount				Due to th	the small number of staff employed by Oxfordshire Clinica it would have been possible to identify individuals from the n. The information has been redacted and the percentages given below.		Oxfordshire Clinica	al Commissioning							
	- 4 - 5	Band 3 Band 4	Headcount Headcount		1	-	Group it			information in this								
	6	Band 5	Headcount							s within each staff								
	7	Band 6	Headcount				group giv											
	8	Band 7	Headcount															
	9	Band 8A	Headcount															
		Band 8B	Headcount															
		Band 8C				Ind	Indicator		Indicator Description	n	cce		Baseline Data					
		Band 8D	Headcount									Descriptor Ir			Indicator			
Percentage of staff in each of the AfC Bands		13 Band 9 Headcount 14 VSM Headcount									Number BME staff in Bands 8 to 9 and VSM  Total number of staff Bands 8 to 9 and VSM							
1-9 OR Medical and	14 VSM 1b) Clinical workforce		Headcount		l		<b>=</b>						3					
Dental subgroups and		of which Non Medical											59					
VSM (including	15	Under Band 1	Headcount		1						% BME staff Bands 8 to 9 and VSM				5.1%			
executive Board		Band 1	Headcount				<u> </u>			Number of BME staff in clinical roles			3					
members) compared		Band 2	Headcount							Number of BME staff in non-clinical roles			5					
with the percentage of		Band 3	Headcount					Percentage of	staff in each of the AFC	Bands 1-9 and VSM					_	_		
staff in the overall		Band 4	Headcount					(including exec	utive Board members)	compared with the	% BME staff in Band	% BME staff in Bands 1-4 in Clinical roles			0.0%			
workforce	20		Headcount				1	percentage of staff in the overall workforce. O should undertake this calculation separately for and for clinical staff.		orce. Organisations %	% BME staff in Bands 1-4 in non-Clinical roles			0.0%				
		Band 6 Band 7	Headcount Headcount							rately for non-clinical	% BME staff in Band	nds 5-7 in Clinical roles nds 5-7 in non-Clinical roles		14.3%				
	23	Band 8A	Headcount							f.								
	24		Headcount										57.570		18.2%	_		
	25		Headcount								% BME staff in Bands 8-9 and VSM in Clinical roles % BME staff in Bands 8-9 and VSM in non-Clinical roles			10.5%				
	26	Band 8D	Headcount											2.5%				
	27	Band 9	Headcount								Number BME staff in overall workforce		8					
	28	VSM	Headcount											to the event	_	_		
	Of which Medical & Dental										Total number of staff in overall workforce whose ethnic origin is known			91	_			
		29 Consultants Headcour of which Senior medical									% BME staff in over	AE staff in overall workforce		8.8%				
	30	manager	Headcount															
	31	Non-consultant career grade	Headcount															
	32	Trainee grades Other	Headcount Headcount		<b> </b>													
	34	Number of shortlisted applicants Headcount			90			42		8		73			25		7	
Relative likelihood of	35	Number appointed from shortlistin	g Headcount		18			1		1		18			2		6	
staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts	36	Relative likelihood of appointment from shortlisting Auto calculate			0.2000000000			0.0238095238		0.1250000000		0.2465753425		0.080	0000000		0.8571428571	
	37	Relative likelihood of White staff being appointed from shortlisting compared to BME staff	Auto calculated		8.40							3.08						
Relative likelihood of staff entering the formal disciplinary process, as measured	38	Number of staff in workforce	Auto calculated															
	39	Number of staff entering the forma disciplinary process	Headcount		0			0		0		0			0		0	
by entry into a formal disciplinary	40	Likelihood of staff entering the formal disciplinary process	Auto calculated															
investigation  Note: This indicator	41	Relative likelihood of BME staff entering the formal disciplinary process compared to White staff	Auto calculated															

## SubmissionTemplate Workforce Race Equality Standards 2018/19 template



		DATA MEASURE				31st MARCH 2018			31st MARCH 2019						
INDICATOR		EM		MEASURE	WHITE		BME	ETHNICITY UNKNOWN/NULL		WH	ΠE	BME	ETHNICITY UNKNOW	WN/NULL Notes	
	4	12	Number of staff in workforce	Auto calculated											
Relative likelihood of staff accessing non-mandatory training and CPD	Lof.		Number of staff accessing non- mandatory training and CPD:	Headcount		0	0		0		13	1		1	
	on-	14	Likelihood of staff accessing non- mandatory training and CPD	Auto calculated											
	4	5	Relative likelihood of White staff accessing non-mandatory training and CPD compared to BME staff	Auto calculated											
5 experiencing harassment, bullyi	ing	16	harassment, bullying or abuse from patients, relatives or the public in	Percentage		15.00%	0				12.50%	0			
experiencing harassment, bullyi	ing 4	7	% of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 months	Percentage		19.50%	1				21.20%	0			
7 Percentage believe that trust provides equal opportunitie	s for	18	% starr believing that trust provides equal opportunities for career	Percentage		59.00%	1				51.50%	33.30%			
have you personal experienced discrimination at w	lly 4	19	% staff personally experienced discrimination at work from Manager/team leader or other colleague	Percentage		9.50%	0				7.30%	25.00%			
from our of the			Total Board members	Headcount		7	0		6		10	0		6	
Percentage difference between the organisations' Board voting membership	5	i1	of which: Voting Board members	Headcount		0	0		0		0	0		0	
	5	i2	: Non Voting Board members	Auto calculated		7	0		6		10	0		6	
	5	i3	Total Board members	Auto calculated		7	0		6		10	0		6	
	ence g	i4	of which: Exec Board members	Headcount		0	0		0		0	0		0	
		i5	: Non Executive Board members	Auto calculated		7	0		6		10	0		6	
and its overall		i6	Number of staff in overall workforce	Auto calculated		0	0		0						
9 Workforce	5	1	Total Board members - % by Ethnicity	Auto calculated		53.8%	0.0%		46.2%		62.5%	0.0%		37.5%	
Note: Only voting members of the Board should be included when considering this indicator			Voting Board Member - % by Ethnicity	Auto calculated											
		19	Non Voting Board Member - % by Ethnicity	Auto calculated		53.8%	0.0%		46.2%		62.5%	0.0%		37.5%	
	E	60	Executive Board Member - % by Ethnicity	Auto calculated											
	6		Non Executive Board Member - % by Ethnicity	Auto calculated		53.8%	0.0%		46.2%		62.5%	0.0%		37.5%	
	6	i2	Overall workforce - % by Ethnicity	Auto calculated											
	6		Difference (Total Board -Overall workforce)	Auto calculated											